This article was downloaded by: On: *28 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



### Physics and Chemistry of Liquids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713646857

# Thermodynamic studies of some 1,2,4-triazole derivatives in DMF and THF solutions at 308.15 K

Shipra Balujaª; Nikunj Kachhadiaª; Asif Solankiª ª Department of Chemistry, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat, India

**To cite this Article** Baluja, Shipra , Kachhadia, Nikunj and Solanki, Asif(2007) 'Thermodynamic studies of some 1,2,4-triazole derivatives in DMF and THF solutions at 308.15 K', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 45: 5, 561 — 569 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/00319100601106705 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319100601106705

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doese should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



## Thermodynamic studies of some 1,2,4-triazole derivatives in DMF and THF solutions at 308.15 K

SHIPRA BALUJA\*, NIKUNJ KACHHADIA and ASIF SOLANKI

Department of Chemistry, Saurashtra University, Rajkot - 360005, Gujarat, India

(Received 3 March 2005; in final form 20 December 2006)

Some new 1,2,4-triazole derivatives have been synthesized and characterized by TLC, IR, NMR and mass spectra. Densities, viscosities and ultrasonic velocities of these compounds have been measured over the wide composition range at 308.15 K in dimethyl formamide (DMF) and tetrahydro furan (THF). From these data, various acoustical and thermodynamic parameters (C.V. Suryanarayana, J. Kuppuswamy. J. Acoust. Soc. Ind., **4**, 75 (1976); H. Erying, M.S. John, Significance of Liquid Structures, Wiley, New York (1969); G.K. John, R.C. Misra. Acustica, **56**, 66 (1989)) were evaluated. Some of these parameters are isentropic compressibility ( $\kappa_s$ ), intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ), relaxion strength (r), relative association ( $R_A$ ), Rao's molar constant ( $R_m$ ), van der Waal's constant (b), molar compressibility (W), internal pressure ( $\pi$ ), free volume ( $V_f$ ), solvation number ( $S_n$ ) etc. The behavior of solutions of these compounds in DMF and THF are explained from the evaluated parameters.

Keywords: Synthesis; Thermo dynamic properties; DMF; THF

#### 1. Introduction

At present, ultrasonics is a subject of extensive research, and we find its usefulness in the fields of biology, biochemistry, engineering, geography, geology, medicine, polymer industry etc. It has also been applied to process monitoring and materials characterization [1]. Ultrasonic velocity (U) together with density ( $\rho$ ) and viscosity ( $\eta$ ) data furnishes a wealth of information about bulk properties and intermolecular forces [2–7], which find applications in several industries and technological processes [8,9].

A literature survey reveals that ultrasonic velocity of various organic, inorganic and biological compounds in various solvents have been studied [10–15]. Our research group has also studied acoustical studies of some Schiff bases in various solvents [16–19]. In continuation of our previous work, the present work deals with acoustical properties of some 1,2,4-triazole derivatives in dimethyl formamide (DMF) and tetrahydrofuran (THF).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: shkundal\_ad1@sancharnet.in; shipra\_baluja@rediffmail.com

The choice of 1,2,4-triazoles is due its multi-applicability in the field of medicine. They are known to be important moiety in the drug industry [20,21]. The applications of these compounds attract us to study their behavior in various solvents.

#### 2. Experimental

The solvents used in the present work were of AR grade and were purified according to the standard procedure described in the literature [22]. The compounds were recrystalized before use.

The computation of ultrasonic and thermodynamic properties requires the measurements of U,  $\eta$ , and  $\rho$ .

The densities of pure solvents and their solutions were measured by using a Single Capillary Pycnometer made of borosil glass having a bulb capacity of 10 mL. The marks on the capillary were calibrated by using triple distilled water. The ultrasonic velocity of pure solvents and their solutions were measured by using Single Crystal Variable Path Ultrasonic Interferometer operating at 2 MHz. Viscosity of pure solvents and solutions were measured by an Ubbelohde viscometer with an accuracy of 0.06%. All the measurements were carried out at 308.15 K. The uncertainty of temperature is  $\pm 0.1$  K and that of concentration is 0.0001 M.

The experimental data of U,  $\eta$  and  $\rho$  are given in table 1.

#### 3. Theory

From the experimental data of density, viscosity and ultrasound velocity of pure solvent and solutions, various acoustical parameters were calculated using following standard equations:

- (1) Isentropic compressibility  $(\kappa_s)$ :  $=\frac{1}{U^2\rho}$ .
- (2) Intermolecular length ( $L_{\rm f}$ ):  $L_{\rm f} = K \kappa_{\rm s}^{1/2}$

where *K* is Jacobson constant (= $6.0816 \times 10^4$ ).

- (3) Relaxation strength (r):  $r = 1 \left(\frac{U}{U_{\alpha}}\right)^2$ where  $U_{\alpha} = 1.6 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-1}}$ .
- (4) Relative association ( $R_a$ ):  $R_a = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \left(\frac{U_0}{U}\right)^{1/3}$ .
- (5) Rao's molar sound function  $(R_m)$ :  $R_m = \left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right) U^{1/3}$ where *M* is the molecular weight of solution.

		DMF		THF			
Conc. ( <i>M</i> )	Density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Velocity $(\times 10^{-5} \mathrm{cm} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	Viscosity $(\times 10^3 \text{ poise})$	Density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Velocity ( $\times 10^{-5} \mathrm{cm}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ )	Viscosity $(\times 10^3 \text{ poise})$	
		HAS-A		HAS-A			
0.00	0.9335	1.4568	7.1882	0.8713	1.2152	4.3830	
0.01	0.9397	1.4378	7.8316	0.8714	1.2296	4.4839	
0.02	0.9417	1.4405	8.1532	0.8734	1.2332	4.5378	
0.04	0.9436	1.4440	8.3436	0.8769	1.2380	4.7650	
0.06	0.9471	1.4491	8.4768	0.8803	1.2424	4.9232	
0.08	0.9489	1.4523	8.6786	0.8825	1.2464	5.0122	
0.10	0.9505	1.4584	8.8540	0.8850	1.2484	5.0991	
		HAS-B		HAS-B			
0.01	0.9359	1.4153	7.3944	0.8735	1.2194	4.4370	
0.02	0.9381	1.4172	7.6075	0.8738	1.2237	4.4984	
0.04	0.9404	1.4239	7.7662	0.8767	1.2258	4.5775	
0.06	0.9425	1.4256	8.0083	0.8785	1.2279	4.6138	
0.08	0.9446	1.4284	8.2551	0.8790	1.2300	4.6830	
0.10	0.9480	1.4296	8.3551	0.8810	1.2340	4.7674	
		HAS-C		HAS-C			
0.01	0.9368	1.4248	7.4302	0.8708	1.2180	4.4002	
0.02	0.9381	1.4272	7.6589	0.8721	1.2208	4.4671	
0.04	0.9405	1.4300	7.7512	0.8742	1.2248	4.5194	
0.06	0.9423	1.4332	7.9216	0.8785	1.2264	4.6145	
0.08	0.9443	1.4364	8.1468	0.8789	1.2288	4.6655	
0.10	0.9465	1.4388	8.6300	0.8817	1.2308	4.7435	
	_	HAS-D		_	HAS-D		
0.01	0.9364	1.4203	7.4413	0.8716	1.2224	4.4604	
0.02	0.9376	1.4298	7.6095	0.8727	1.2272	4.5018	
0.04	0.9400	1.4353	7.9007	0.8753	1.2296	4.6774	
0.06	0.9435	1.4385	8.1725	0.8777	1.2324	4.7623	
0.08	0.9463	1.4414	8.4925	0.8788	1.2356	4.8306	
0.10	0.9484	1.4476	8.7581	0.8805	1.2396	4.9115	
		HAS-E		HAS-E			
0.01	0.9345	1.4070	7.3502	0.8717	1.2188	4.4468	
0.02	0.9360	1.4103	7.4540	0.8740	1.2204	4.4818	
0.04	0.9377	1.4132	7.5302	0.8758	1.2236	4.6018	
0.06	0.9405	1.4156	7.7777	0.8773	1.2248	4.6683	
0.08	0.9418	1.4189	7.8286	0.8795	1.2288	4.7437	
0.10	0.9450	1.4232	7.9420	0.8816	1.2304	4.8530	

Table 1. The density  $(\rho)$ , ultrasonic velocity (U) and viscosity  $(\eta)$  of triazole derivatives in DMF and THF at 308.15 K.

(6) Van der Waal's constant (b):  $b = \left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right) \left[\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{MU^2}{3RT}\right) - 1}\right]$ 

where R is gas constant and T is absolute temperature.

(7) Molar compressibility (*W*):  $W = \left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right) \kappa_{\rm s}^{-1/7}$ .

S. Baluja et al.

(8) Internal pressure  $(\pi) = bRT \left(\frac{k\eta}{U}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\rho^{2/3}}{M^{7/6}}\right)$ 

where k is constant (4.28 × 10<sup>9</sup>),  $\eta$  is the viscosity of the solution and b is packing fraction (=2).

(9) Free volume (
$$V_{\rm f}$$
):  $V_{\rm f} = \left[\frac{MU}{K\eta}\right]^{3/2}$ 

(10) Solvation number  $(S_n)$ :  $S_n = \frac{M_2}{M_1} \left[ 1 - \frac{\kappa_s}{\kappa_{s1}} \right] \left[ \frac{(100 - X)}{X} \right]$ 

where X is the number of grams of solute in 100 gm of the solution.  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $\kappa_{s1}$  and  $\kappa_s$  are the molecular weights and isentropic compressibility of solvent and solute, respectively.

(11) Apparent molar volume  $(\Phi_v) = \left[\frac{M}{\rho}\right] - \left[\frac{1000\{\rho - \rho_0\}}{\rho C}\right]$ 

where M is the molecular weight of Schiff bases,  $\rho$  and  $\rho_0$  are the densities of solute and solvent, respectively and C is the concentration of the solution in M.

(12) Apparent molar compressibility 
$$(\Phi_k) = \left[ (\rho_0 \kappa_s - \rho \kappa_s^\circ) \left( \frac{1000}{C \rho_0} \right) \right] + \left[ \frac{\kappa_s^\circ M_2}{\rho_0} \right]$$

where  $\kappa_s$  and  $\kappa_s^{\circ}$  are the adiabatic compressibility of solvent and Schiff bases solution.

Some of these parameters are given in tables 2 and 3.

#### 4. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the variation of ultrasound velocity with concentrations for both the solvents, DMF and THF. It is clear from figure 1 that increase in velocity with concentration is more in THF solutions than that in DMF. Ultrasonic velocity depends on intermolecular free length  $(L_f)$  inversely. Increase in the  $L_f$  causes a decrease in velocity or *vice versa*. Tables 2 and 3 show the regular decrease of  $L_f$  values for both the solvents, causing thereby increase in velocity with concentration.

The isentropic compressibility of the solutions in both the solvents is also found to decrease with increase of concentration. This phenomenon can be explained by assuming that the solvated molecules are fully compressed by the electrical forces of the ions. The compressibility of the solution is mainly due to the free solvent molecules. Due to solute-solvent interactions in the system, compressibility of the solution decreases with the increase in solute concentration. This is further confirmed by decrease of  $L_f$  and relaxation strength (r) values. The increase in viscosity in both solvents also confirms the same. The association between solute and solvent molecules is further confirmed by relative association ( $R_a$ ) values, which are found to increase continuously with concentration for all the compounds in both the solvents.

564

Conc.	$\kappa_{\rm s} \times 10^{11}$	$L_{\rm f}$		$Z \times 10^{-5}$	$R_{\rm m} \times 10^{-3}$	$b^{3}$ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$W \times 10^{-3}$	-	$V_{\rm f}$
(111)	(chí dyn )	(A)	7	(geni)	(cm s)	(chi hioi )	(chi dyn )	л	(cm)
HAS-A	1								
0.00	5.0476	0.4321	0.1710	1.3599	4.1198	73.6390	2315.9617	544.6836	0.1356
0.01	5.1477	0.4363	0.1925	1.3511	4.1334	74.1835	2327.2419	493.6951	0.1793
0.02	5.1177	0.4351	0.1895	1.3565	4.1854	75.1044	2357.0637	495.7954	0.1729
0.04	5.0825	0.4336	0.1855	1.3626	4.2966	77.0979	2420.0896	485.8237	0.1747
0.06	5.0280	0.4312	0.1797	1.3725	4.4007	78.9360	2479.5809	475.1384	0.1784
0.08	4.9964	0.4299	0.1761	1.3781	4.5102	80.9012	2541.7521	466.6030	0.1796
0.1	4.9465	0.4277	0.1692	1.3862	4.6233	82.8797	2605.5656	457.2802	0.1821
HAS-E	3								
0.01	5.3341	0.4442	0.2175	1.3245	4.1294	74.4446	2.3254	482.0700	0.1909
0.02	5.3075	0.4431	0.2154	1.3295	4.1807	75.3677	2.3549	481.3400	0.1873
0.04	5.2449	0.4404	0.2080	1.3390	4.2949	77.3769	2.4196	470.4600	0.1907
0.06	5.2206	0.4394	0.2061	1.3436	4.4042	79.3721	2.4818	463.3500	0.1900
0.08	5.1886	0.4381	0.2030	1.3493	4.5138	81.3529	2.5441	456.5300	0.1894
0.1	5.1613	0.4369	0.2017	1.3553	4.6136	83.1832	2.6016	446.8700	0.1934
HAS-C	2								
0.01	5.2583	0.4410	0.2070	1.3348	4.1305	74.3212	2.3256	482.5011	0.1912
0.02	5.2334	0.4399	0.2043	1.3389	4.1823	75.2413	2.3551	482.3658	0.1869
0.04	5.1996	0.4385	0.2012	1.3449	4.2843	77.0844	2.4131	471.1123	0.1914
0.06	5.1665	0.4371	0.1976	1.3505	4.3887	78.9622	2.4724	462.5101	0.1931
0.08	5.1326	0.4357	0.1940	1.3564	4.4914	80.8074	2.5307	455.9490	0.1927
0.1	5.1036	0.4345	0.1914	1.3618	4.5915	82.6157	2.5878	456.7510	0.1836
HAS-E	)								
0.01	5.2938	0.4425	0.2120	1.3300	4.1215	74.2237	2320.7613	484.3583	0.1895
0.02	5.2168	0.4393	0.2014	1.3406	4.1744	75.0559	2350.2202	481.9011	0.1884
0.04	5.1639	0.4370	0.1953	1.3492	4.2665	76.6727	2402.5210	477.8765	0.1854
0.06	5.1221	0.4353	0.1917	1.3572	4.3502	78.1696	2450.6554	474.1468	0.1828
0.08	5.0863	0.4337	0.1884	1.3640	4.4359	79.7068	2499.7799	471.6505	0.1789
0.1	5.0317	0.4314	0.1814	1.3729	4.5278	81.3008	2551.8666	466.9023	0.1775
HAS-E	3								
0.01	5.4052	0.4471	0.2267	1.3149	4.1117	74.2421	2.3156	483.7173	0.1899
0.02	5.3714	0.4457	0.2230	1.3201	4.1519	74.9377	2.3385	481.1159	0.1846
0.04	5.3398	0.4444	0.2199	1.3252	4.2340	76.4158	2.3852	472.1064	0.1932
0.06	5.3059	0.4430	0.2172	1.3314	4.3098	77.7855	2.4287	469.1805	0.1918
0.08	5.2738	0.4417	0.2135	1.3363	4.3933	79.2770	2.4759	459.8652	0.1947
0.1	5.2244	0.4396	0.2088	1.3449	4.4675	80.5852	2.5186	453.2950	0.1970

Table 2. Variation of acoustical parameters with concentration of triazole derivatives in DMF at 308.15 K.

In both the solvents, the Rao's molar sound function  $(R_m)$ , van der Waal's constant (b) and molar compressibility (W) for all the solutions are observed to increase linearly (correlation coefficient  $\gamma = 0.9993-0.9999$ ), which suggest that no complex or aggregate formation takes place in these solutions.

The internal pressure  $(\pi)$  is the resultant of forces of attraction and repulsion between the molecules in a solution. Tables 2 and 3 show that it decreases with concentration in both the solvents. The decrease in internal pressure indicates the decrease in cohesive forces. Although decrease in compressibility, internal molecular free length, relaxation strength and increase of velocity, viscosity suggest predominance of solute–solvent interaction, the decrease in internal pressure indicates the existence of solute–solvent interactions in these solutions. This is further supported by free volume. The free volume ( $V_f$ ) of a solute molecule at a particular temperature and pressure depends on the internal pressure of a liquid, in which it is dissolved. The decrease in molecular

Conc.	$\kappa_{\rm s} \times 10^{11}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	$\begin{pmatrix} L_{\rm f} \\ ({\rm \AA}) \end{pmatrix}$	r	$Z \times 10^{-5}$ (g cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$R_{\rm m} \times 10^{-3}$ (cm <sup>-8/3</sup> s <sup>-1/3</sup> )	b (cm <sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$W \times 10^{-3}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> dyn <sup>-1</sup> )	π	$V_{\rm f}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )
	(em ayn )	(11)	,	(gem )	(em s )	(em mor )	(em ayn )	50	(em)
HAS-A	1 7721	0 5262	0 4222	1 0500	4 0002	77 0702	2 2016	204 5862	0 2025
0.00	7.5002	0.5502	0.4252	1.0366	4.0995	78 5011	2.5010	299 9650	0.3923
0.01	7.5902	0.5298	0.4094	1.0713	4.1807	70.3011	2.3494	383 5784	0.3223
0.02	7.4406	0.5246	0.4013	1.0771	4.2325	82 1248	2.3808	378 5788	0.3201
0.04	7 3 5 9 5	0.5240	0.3970	1.0030	4 5107	84 5143	2 5337	371 2120	0.3202
0.08	7 2502	0.5217	0.3932	1.0999	4.6437	86 9983	2.5557	361 4834	0.3291
0.1	7.1721	0.5178	0.3912	1.1048	4.7713	89.4166	2.6815	352.6926	0.3359
HAS-E	3								
0.01	7.6987	0.5336	0.4191	1.0652	4.1590	78.1593	2.3356	389.7258	0.3227
0.02	7.6423	0.5317	0.4150	1.0693	4.2277	79.4064	2.3739	384.7643	0.3252
0.04	7.5912	0.5299	0.4131	1.0747	4.3455	81.6462	2.4410	375.1974	0.3324
0.06	7.5495	0.5284	0.4110	1.0787	4.4679	83.9712	2.5103	364.2244	0.3441
0.08	7.5197	0.5274	0.4090	1.0812	4.5969	86.4205	2.5828	354.7882	0.3521
0.1	7.4541	0.5251	0.4052	1.0872	4.7191	88.7006	2.6519	346.6631	0.3589
HAS-C	2								
0.01	7.7408	0.5351	0.4205	1.0606	4.1659	78.3135	2.3386	387.9996	0.3256
0.02	7.6939	0.5334	0.4178	1.0647	4.2238	79.3821	2.3713	384.3124	0.3265
0.04	7.6253	0.5311	0.4140	1.0707	4.3395	81.5446	2.4368	373.9707	0.3364
0.06	7.5682	0.5291	0.4125	1.0774	4.4394	83.4516	2.4944	367.0306	0.3303
0.08	7.5353	0.5279	0.4102	1.0800	4.5605	85.7418	2.5624	357.4845	0.3495
0.1	7.4869	0.5262	0.4083	1.0852	4.6661	87.7567	2.6230	350.2944	0.3551
HAS-I	)								
0.01	7.6781	0.5329	0.4163	1.0654	4.1602	78.1203	2.3352	390.9554	0.3200
0.02	7.6086	0.5305	0.4117	1.0710	4.2142	79.0777	2.3656	386.4777	0.3236
0.04	7.5564	0.5287	0.4094	1.0763	4.3115	80.9148	2.4210	382.9311	0.3183
0.06	7.5015	0.5267	0.4067	1.0817	4.4093	82.7519	2.4766	375.7999	0.3224
0.08	7.4534	0.5250	0.4036	1.0858	4.5140	84.7079	2.5354	367.9300	0.3284
0.1	7.3911	0.5228	0.3998	1.0915	4.6157	86.5921	2.5930	360.9955	0.3332
HAS-E	3								
0.01	7.7227	0.5344	0.4197	1.0624	4.1496	77.9850	2.3297	391.6160	0.3193
0.02	7.6822	0.5330	0.4182	1.0666	4.1882	78.7071	2.3521	388.3541	0.3217
0.04	7.6263	0.5311	0.4152	1.0716	4.2785	80.3943	2.4032	383.3381	0.3211
0.06	7.5984	0.5301	0.4140	1.0745	4.3675	82.0910	2.4537	376.5802	0.3253
0.08	7.5301	0.5277	0.4102	1.0807	4.4553	83.7143	2.5036	370.2903	0.3295
0.1	7.4927	0.5264	0.4086	1.0847	4.5401	85.3236	2.5519	365.8943	0.3291

Table 3. Variation of acoustical parameters with concentration of triazole derivatives in THF at 308.15 K.

association causes an increase in free volume. Thus, free volume is an inverse function of internal pressure. Hence, increase in free volume causes internal pressure to decrease or *vice versa*. However, tables 2 and 3 show that although internal pressure decreases linearly, free volume varies irregularly for different compounds in both DMF and THF. This again confirms the existence of both solute–solute and solute–solvent interactions in these systems.

Further, the apparent molar compressibility  $(\phi_k)$  and apparent molar volume  $(\phi_v)$  of the solutions are fitted to Gucker's relation [23] and Masson's equation [24], respectively.

$$\phi_{\rm k} = \phi_{\rm k}^{\circ} + S_{\rm k}\sqrt{C} \tag{13}$$

$$\phi_{\rm v} = \phi_{\rm v}^{\circ} + S_{\rm v}\sqrt{C} \tag{14}$$



Figure 1. Variation of ultrasonic velocity (U) against concentration in DMF (A) and THF (B) at 308.15 K.

From the plot of  $\Phi_v$  versus  $\sqrt{C}$ ,  $\Phi_v^\circ$  and  $S_v$  values were calculated from the intercept and slope, respectively.  $S_{\rm v}$  is the measure of solute-solvent interaction. These values of  $\Phi_v^{\circ}$  and  $S_v$ , along with the Gucker's constants  $\Phi_k^{\circ}$  and  $S_k$  are reported in table 4.

Table 4 shows that in  $\Phi_{\rm k}^{\circ}$  values are positive (except HAS-A) for DMF solutions whereas it is negative for THF solutions. The  $S_k$  values are negative (except HAS-A) for DMF solutions whereas it is positive for THF. Positive  $\Phi_k^{\circ}$  values and negative  $S_k$ suggest solute–solute interactions as in DMF solutions and negative  $\varphi_k^{\circ}$  and positive  $S_k$  indicate solute-solvent interactions.

Thus, in DMF solutions both solute-solvent and solute-solute interactions exist. The predominance of any of these interactions appears in any of the calculated parameters in DMF solutions, as observed in  $\Phi_v^{\circ}$  and  $S_v$  values. Whereas in THF solutions, solute– solvent interactions exists. In both DMF and THF solutions,  $\varphi_v^{\circ}$  values are negative and  $S_v$  values are positive. The negative  $\varphi_v^{\circ}$  and positive  $S_v$  suggest electrostrictive solvation of ions.

	$\Phi_k^\circ  imes 10^8$	$S_{\rm k} \times 10^8$	$\Phi_v^\circ$	$S_{ m v}$
DMF	ас.		·	
HAS-A	-1.02	0.85	-462.53	1133.10
HAS-B	9.8	-40.00	-111.95	158.82
HAS-C	5.8	-19.59	-365.14	862.00
HAS-D	9.1	-10.00	-481.99	1207.80
HAS-E	10.6	32.13	-244.74	463.66
THF				
HAS-A	-3.7	5.48	-171.33	574.65
HAS-B	-19.8	30.00	-153.24	1122.45
HAS-C	-5.8	12.96	-20.00	722.22
HAS-D	-4.95	11.75	-21.77	812.58
HAS-E	-8.55	14.62	-60.42	307.69

Table 4. Various constants of Gucker's and Masson's equations.

The degree of interaction is also measured in terms of solvation number  $(S_n)$ . The solvation number is a measure of structure forming or structure breaking tendency of solute in a solution. The positive  $S_n$  values are due to structure forming tendency of solute or *vice versa*. In DMF solutions, for all the Schiff bases,  $S_n$  values either decreases or are irregular whereas in THF solutions,  $S_n$  values are observed to increase with concentration continuously for all the Schiff bases. These further suggest that solute–solute interactions are also present in DMF solutions.

Thus, both solute–solute and solute–solvent interactions exist in DMF solutions. The velocity, compressibility, intermolecular free length and relaxation strength showed solute–solvent interactions whereas internal pressure, solvation number and  $\Phi_k^\circ$  suggest solute–solute interactions. For the evaluation of previous parameters, only density was considered whereas for latter's calculations, molecular weight and viscosity are also considered.

In DMF, there are two lone pair of electrons which may interact differently with different solute molecules. Thus, the dipole–dipole interactions between solute and solvent molecules play an important role in DMF solutions due to which predominance of type of interaction is affected. Thus, overall structure-breaking tendency of triazole derivatives is observed in DMF solutions whereas in THF, structure-forming tendency is observed.

In THF, most of the properties suggest existence of solute–solvent interactions. The solvation number also indicates structure-forming tendency of these triazole derivatives.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Head of Chemistry Department for providing facilities.

#### References

<sup>[1]</sup> T.J. Mason (Ed.). Sonochemistry, Royal Society of Chemistry, United Kingdom (1990).

<sup>[2]</sup> C.V. Suryanarayana, J. Kuppuswamy. J. Acoust. Soc. Ind., 4, 75 (1976).

<sup>[3]</sup> H. Erying, M.S. John. Significance of Liquid Structures, Wiley, New York (1969).

- [4] G.K. Johri, R.C. Misra. Acustica, 56, 66 (1989).
- [5] V.F. Nozdrev. Application of Ultrasonic in Molecular Physics, Gordon and Breach, New York (1963).
- [6] D.C. Pierce. Acoustics, McGraw Hill, New York (1981).
- [7] A. Ali, A.K. Nain. Phys. Chem. Liq., 37, 161 (1991).
- [8] A. Pal, G. Das. J. Pure Appl. Ultrason., 21, 9 (1999).
- [9] P.S. Nikam, M.D. Jadhav, M. Hasan. Acustica, 83, 86 (1997).
- [10] S.P. Yawale, S.V. Pakade, C.S. Adagonkar. Proc. Sol. State Sym., 30, 66 (1987).
- [11] S. Mukherjee, C. Basu, U.S. Ghosh. J. Non Cryst. Solids, 144, 59 (1992).
- [12] M. Mecozzi, R. Acquistucci, A. Marina, P.C. Rill. Ultrason. Sonochem., 9, 219 (2002).
- [13] C.C. Deshmukh, A.G. Doshi, P. Agrawal. Acta Cin. Ind., XXIXC, 4 (2003).
- [14] J.I. Bhat, N.S. Varaprasad. Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phy., 42, 96 (2004).
- [15] K. Jerie, A. Baranowski, J. Przybyski. J. Mol. Liq., 111, 25 (2004).
- [16] S. Baluja, H.S. Joshi. J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 76, 407 (1999).
- [17] S. Baluja, S. Oza. Flu. Pha. Equil., 200, 11 (2002).
- [18] S. Baluja, A. Shah. Flu. Pha. Equil., 215, 55 (2004).
- [19] S. Baluja, A. Shah. Russ. J. Phy. Chem., 80, 1 (2006).
- [20] D.H. Jenes, R. Slack, S. Squires, K.R.H. Woolridge. J. Med. Chem., 8, 676 (1965).
- [21] N.A. Abdon, F.M. Amin, A. Mansoura. J. Pharm. Sci., 6, 25 (1990).
- [22] J.A. Riddick, W.B. Bunger, T. Sakano. Organic Solvents-Physical Properties and Methods of Purification, Techniques of Chemistry, 4th Edn, Wiley-Interscience, John Wiley, New York (1986).
   [22] T. C. L. L. Classical and Computer Solvents (1987).
- [23] F.T. Gucker Jr. Chem. Rev., 64, 111 (1993).
- [24] D. Jones, M. Dole. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 51, 2050 (1929).